



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/604,199 | 06/27/2000 | Robert H. Joyce | 002950.P053 | 4800 |
| 24628 | 7590 | 12/12/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| WELSH & KATZ, LTD 120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA 22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606 | | | JACOBS, LASHONDA T | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2157 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/12/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/604,199

Applicant(s)

JOYCE ET AL.

Examiner

LaShonda T. Jacobs

Art Unit

2157

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13-22, 24-35, 37, 39-42 and 44-57 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13-22, 24-35, 37, 39-42 and 44-57 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Application/Control Number: 09/604,199

Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This is a Final Office Action in response to Applicant's Amendment filed on October 26, 2007.

Claims 1, 10-11, 22, and 32 have been amended. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13-22, 24-35, 37, 39-42 and 44-57 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13-22, 24-35, 37, 39-42 and 44-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pickering et al (hereinafter, "Pickering", U.S. Pat. No. 6,493,695) of Barkan et al (hereinafter, "Barkan", U.S. Pat. No. 6,366,575) and in further view of Villena et al (hereinafter, "Villena", U.S. Pat. No. 6,775,378).

As per claims 1 and 32, Pickering discloses a method and machine-readable medium comprising:

- receiving task data indicating a plurality of tasks, each task comprising a customer contact and agent data indicating a plurality of agents (col. 1, lines 19-44, col. 3, lines 1-20 col. 4, lines 66-67, and col. 5, lines 1-29);

- storing the task data and the agent data in a database system (col. 7, lines 32-44, and col. 8, lines 46-67); and
- assigning respective tasks of the plurality of tasks to at least one of the agents according to workflows (col. 7, lines 32-59; Pickering discloses a routing module that access a workforce management database to select an agent to handle tasks according to his/her or skills or experience. Thus Pickering discloses assigning respective tasks of the plurality of tasks to at least of the agents according to workflows according to Applicant specification page 4, lines 8-11 and page 5, lines 16-21.)

However, Pickering does not explicitly disclose:

- wherein the receiving of the of agent data includes receiving status messages from the plurality of agents each status message providing agent availability data.

Barkan discloses a system for establishing a telephone call between an outside telephone and an agent station including:

- wherein the receiving of the of agent data includes receiving status messages from the plurality of agents each status message providing agent availability data (col. 4, lines 22-34).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Barkan's teachings of a system for establishing a telephone call between an outside telephone and an agent station by allowing agents to send status messages to the call center to describe the state of his/her station in order to route customers to the next available agent in a timely and efficient manner. Thus, Pickering provides the motivation to combine by utilizing a system for handling a large volume of calls that would

allow call centers to handle all communications in a homogeneous way to thereby allow the call center to make optimum use of human resources.

Pickering in view of Barkan discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Pickering in view of Barkan does not explicitly disclose:

- determining a system overloaded condition; and
- reassigning a first agent from a first task currently being handled by the first agent by interrupting the first agent and instructing the first agent to abandon the task currently being handled and switching the first agent to a second task responsive to the determining the system overloaded condition.

Villena discloses a blended agent contact center comprising:

- determining a system overloaded condition (abstract, col. 2, lines 43-49, col. 5, lines 58-67, col. 6, lines 1-6); and
- reassigning a first agent from a first task currently being handled by the first agent by interrupting the first agent and instructing the first agent to abandon the task currently being handled and switching the first agent to a second task responsive to the determining the system overloaded condition (abstract, col. 5, lines 32-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Pickering in view of Barkan with Villena's teachings of a blended agent contact center by allowing agents to perform any of a variety of agent customer interactions and allocating the agents based upon the system load for

Art Unit: 2157

the purpose of providing a truly blended and more flexible contact center solution that provides a more efficient utilization of the time of all agents.

As per claim **22**, Pickering discloses a system comprising:

- a blending engine coupled to a plurality of media switches such that the blending engine receives a task data from the plurality of media switches (col.7, lines 12-44);
- a plurality of agent workstations coupled to the blending engine such that the agent workstations provide agent data to the blending engine, and the blending engine provides a plurality of task assignments to the agent workstations (col.7, lines 12-44).
- a blending database coupled to the blending engine such that the blending engine and the blending database exchange the agent data and the task data (col.7, lines 12-44); and
- a workflow manager coupled to the blending database and the blending engine such that the workflow manager: access the blending database, executes workflows, communicates the plurality of task assignments to the blending engine (col.7, lines 12-44).

However, Pickering does not explicitly disclose the blending engine to receive the plurality of agent data comprises:

- status messages from the plurality of agents, each status message providing agent availability data.

Barkan discloses a system for establishing a telephone call between an outside telephone and an agent station including:

- status messages from the plurality of agents, each status message providing agent availability data (col. 4, lines 22-34).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Barkan's teachings of a system for establishing a telephone call between an outside telephone and an agent station by allowing agents to send status messages to the call center to describe the state of his/her station in order to route customers to the next available agent in a timely and efficient manner. Thus, Pickering provides the motivation to combine by utilizing a system for handling a large volume of calls that would allow call centers to handle all communications in a homogeneous way to thereby allow the call center to make optimum use of human resources.

Pickering in view of Barkan discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Pickering in view of Barkan does not explicitly:

- determining a system overloaded condition; and
- reassigning a first agent from a first task currently being handled by the first agent by interrupting the first agent and instructing the first agent to abandoned the task currently being handled and switching the first agent to a second task responsive to the determining the system overloaded condition.

Villena discloses a blended agent contact center comprising:

- determining a system overloaded condition (abstract, col. 2, lines 43-49, col. 5, lines 58-67, col. 6, lines 1-6); and
- reassigning a first agent from a first task currently being handled by the first agent by interrupting the first agent and instructing the first agent to abandoned the task currently being handled and switching the first agent to a second task responsive to the

determining the system overloaded condition (abstract, col. 5, lines 32-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Pickering in view of Barkan with Villena's teachings of a blended agent contact center by allowing agents to perform any of a variety of agent customer interactions and allocating the agents based upon the system load for the purpose of providing a truly blended and more flexible contact center solution that provides a more efficient utilization of the time of all agents.

As per claims 2, 33 and 55, Pickering discloses wherein the receiving of the task data comprises:

- receiving the task data from a plurality of sources (col.1, lines 19-44, and col. 5, lines 3-9).

However, Pickering in view of Barkan does not explicitly disclose:

- reassigning comprises instructing the first agent to abandon handling emails and switch to handling telephone calls.

Villena discloses a blended agent contact center comprising:

- reassigning comprises instructing the first agent to abandon handling emails and switch to handling telephone calls (abstract, col. 5, lines 32-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Pickering in view of Barkan with Villena's teachings of a blended agent contact center by allowing agents to perform any of a

Art Unit: 2157

variety of agent customer interactions and allocating the agents based upon the system load for the purpose of providing a truly blended and more flexible contact center solution that provides a more efficient utilization of the time of all agents.

As per claims **3** and **34**, Pickering discloses wherein the plurality of sources comprises:

- heterogeneous media switches (col.1, lines 19-44, and col. 5, lines 3-9).

As per claims **4**, **25**, and **35**, Pickering discloses:

- wherein each of the heterogeneous media switches is from a group consisting of electronic mail systems, internet live text systems, internet voice transmission systems, telephonic voice systems, telephonic facsimile systems, and voice mail systems (col. 1, lines 19-44, and col. 5, lines 3-9).

As per claims **10** and **41**, Pickering discloses:

- at least one volatile memory database and at least one writable medium database which are synchronized with each other (col.11, lines 50-67).

As per claims **11** and **42**, Pickering in view Barkan discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Pickering in view of Barkan does not explicitly disclose:

- wherein status of at least one agent is changed to available from one of unavailable and available-if-needed in response to system load exceed a predetermined lad threshold.

Villena discloses a blended agent contact center comprising:

- wherein status of at least one agent is changed to available from one of unavailable and available-if-needed in response to system load exceed a predetermined lad threshold (abstract, col. 5, lines 32-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Pickering in view of Barkan with Villena's teachings of a blended agent contact center by allowing agents to perform any of a variety of agent customer interactions and allocating the agents based upon the system load for the purpose of providing a truly blended and more flexible contact center solution that provides a more efficient utilization of the time of all agents.

As per claim **24**, Pickering discloses:

- each media switch comprises an adapter coupled to a media specific queue (col.1, lines 53-67, and col. 2, lines 1-7); and
- each media specific queue is coupled to the blending engine (col.7, lines 12-44).

As per claim **26**, Pickering discloses:

- each agent workstation comprises a desktop helper (col.5, lines 52-67, and col. 10, lines 47-53); and
- each desktop helper is coupled to the blending engine via a blending engine queue (col. 7, lines 12-44).

As per claim **27**, Pickering discloses wherein the blending database comprises:

- at least one volatile memory database synchronized with at least one writable medium database (col.11, lines 50-67).

As per claim **28**, Pickering discloses:

- wherein the blending database stores a plurality of task entries and a plurality of agent entries.

As per claim **29**, Pickering discloses:

- wherein the volatile memory database is a superset of the writable medium database (col.11, lines 50-67).

As per claim 30, Pickering discloses:

- wherein the volatile memory database stores a blending engine queue data and a plurality of media specific queue data (col.11, lines 50-67).

As per claim 31, Pickering discloses wherein to accesses the blending database comprises:

- reading the task entries and the agent entries (col. 8, lines 60-67).

As per claim 6, Pickering discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Pickering does not explicitly disclose:

- wherein the status messages designate either busy or available.

Barkan discloses a system for establishing a telephone call between an outside telephone and an agent station including:

- wherein the status messages designate either busy or available (col. 4, lines 22-34).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Barkan's teachings of a system for establishing a telephone call between an outside telephone and an agent station by allowing agents to send status messages to the call center to describe the state of his/her station in order to route customers to the next available agent in a timely and efficient manner. Thus, Pickering provides the motivation to combine by utilizing a system for handling a large volume of calls that would allow call centers to a handle all communications in a homogeneous way to thereby allow the call center to make optimum use of human resources.

As per claims 8 and 39, Pickering discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Pickering does not explicitly disclose:

- wherein the agent availability data comprises any one of the group including: whether the agent is busy, is available, accepts a first type of task, declines a second type of task and accepts a task responsive to the system overloaded condition.

Barkan discloses a system for establishing a telephone call between an outside telephone and an agent station including:

- wherein the agent availability data comprises any one of the group including: whether the agent is busy, is available, accepts a first type of task, declines a second type of task and accepts a task responsive to the system overloaded condition (col. 4, lines 22-34).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Barkan's teachings of a system for establishing a telephone call between an outside telephone and an agent station by allowing agents to send status messages to the call center to describe the state of his/her station in order to route customers to the next available agent in a timely and efficient manner. Thus, Pickering provides the motivation to combine by utilizing a system for handling a large volume of calls that would allow call centers to handle all communications in a homogeneous way to thereby allow the call center to make optimum use of human resources.

As per claims 9, 37 and 40, Pickering in view of Barkan discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Pickering in view of Barkan does not explicitly disclose:

- wherein the system overloaded condition is workflow defined and wherein reassigning comprises interrupting the first agent requesting that the first agent abandoned the first task currently being handled by the first agent and take up handling the second task.

Villena discloses a blended agent contact center comprising:

- wherein the system overloaded condition is workflow defined and wherein reassigning comprises interrupting the first agent requesting that the first agent abandoned the first task currently being handled by the first agent and take up handling the second task (abstract, col. 1, lines 40-67, col. 2, lines 1-14 and col. 7, lines 38-55).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Pickering in view of Barkan with Villena's teachings of a blended agent contact center by allowing agents to perform any of a variety of agent customer interactions and allocating the agents based upon the system load for the purpose of providing a truly blended and more flexible contact center solution that provides a more efficient utilization of the time of all agents.

As per claims 13 and 44, Pickering discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Pickering does not explicitly disclose wherein the assigning comprises:

- executing a task queued workflow responsive to receiving the task data; and
- executing an agent availability workflow responsive to receiving the agent data.

Barkan discloses a system for establishing a telephone call between an outside telephone and an agent station including:

- executing a task queued workflow responsive to receiving the task data (col. 6, lines 47-56); and
- executing an agent availability workflow responsive to receiving the agent data (col. 4, lines 22-34 and col. 6, lines 47-56).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Barkan's teachings of a system for establishing a telephone call between an outside telephone and an agent station by allowing agents to send status messages to the call center to describe the state of his/her station in order to route customers to the next available agent in a timely and efficient manner. Thus, Pickering provides the motivation to combine by utilizing a system for handling a large volume of calls that would allow call centers to handle all communications in a homogeneous way to thereby allow the call center to make optimum use of human resources.

As per claims **14** and **45**, Pickering discloses wherein the executing the task queued workflow comprises:

- storing the task data as a task entry in the database system (col. 7, lines 32-44, and col. 8, lines 46-67);
- identifying the first agent of the plurality of agents to handle a first task of the plurality of tasks (col. 7, lines 49-63); and
- assigning the first agent the first task (col. 7, lines 49-63).

As per claims **15** and **46**, Pickering discloses wherein the identifying comprises:

- searching the database system for an agent entry meeting defined criteria (col. 7, lines 18-44).

As per claims **18** and **49**, Pickering discloses wherein the executing of the agent availability workflow comprises:

- storing the agent data as an agent entry in the database system (col. 7, lines 32-44, and col. 8, lines 46-67);
- identifying the first task of the plurality of tasks to be handle by a first agent of the plurality of agents (col. 7, lines 49-63); and
- assigning the first task to the first agent (col. 7, lines 49-63).

As per claims **19** and **50**, Pickering discloses wherein the identifying comprises:

- searching the database system for a task entry meeting defined criteria (col.8, lines 46-67).

As per claims **16**, **20**, **47**, and **51**, Pickering discloses wherein the assigning comprises:

- notifying the first agent to handle the first task (col.7, lines 49-60); and
- receiving a response from the first agent either accepting or declining the first task (col.8, lines 46-67); and
- if the first agent accepts the first task, updating the database system (col.8, lines 46-67).

As per claims **17**, **21**, **48**, and **52**, Pickering discloses wherein the updating of the database system comprises:

- modifying the task entry and the agent entry (col.8, lines 60-67).

As per claim **53**, Pickering in view Barkan discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Pickering in view of Barkan does not explicitly disclose wherein the reassigning comprises:

- requesting the first agent to abandon the first task for the second task;
- receiving a response from the first agent either accepting or declining the second task;
- and
- if the first agent accepts the second task, assigning the second task to the first agent.

Villena discloses a blended agent contact center comprising:

- requesting the first agent to abandon the first task for the second task (abstract, col. 5, lines 32-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47);
- receiving a response from the first agent either accepting or declining the second task (abstract, col. 5, lines 32-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47); and
- if the first agent accepts the second task, assigning the second task to the first agent (abstract, col. 5, lines 32-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Pickering in view of Barkan with Villena's teachings of a blended agent contact center by allowing agents to perform any of a variety of agent customer interactions and allocating the agents based upon the system load for the purpose of providing a truly blended and more flexible contact center solution that provides a more efficient utilization of the time of all agents.

As per claim 54, Pickering in view of Barkan discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Pickering in view of Barkan does not explicitly disclose wherein the reassigning comprises:

- instructing the first agent to abandon the first task for the second task; and

- assigning the second task to the first agent.

Villena discloses a blended agent contact center comprising:

- instructing the first agent to abandon the first task for the second task (abstract, col. 5, lines 32-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47); and
- assigning the second task to the first agent (abstract, col. 5, lines 32-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Pickering in view of Barkan with Villena's teachings of a blended agent contact center by allowing agents to perform any of a variety of agent customer interactions and allocating the agents based upon the system load for the purpose of providing a truly blended and more flexible contact center solution that provides a more efficient utilization of the time of all agents.

As per claim 55, Pickering discloses wherein the receiving of the task data comprises:

- receiving the task data from a plurality of sources (col.1, lines 19-44, and col. 5, lines 3-9).

However, Pickering in view of Barkan does not explicitly disclose:

- reassigning comprises instructing the first agent to abandon handling emails and switch to handling telephone calls.

Villena discloses a blended agent contact center comprising:

- reassigning comprises instructing the first agent to abandon handling emails and switch to handling telephone calls (abstract, col. 5, lines 32-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Pickering in view of Barkan with Villena's teachings of a blended agent contact center by allowing agents to perform any of a variety of agent customer interactions and allocating the agents based upon the system load for the purpose of providing a truly blended and more flexible contact center solution that provides a more efficient utilization of the time of all agents.

As per claim 56, Pickering in view of Barkan discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Pickering does not explicitly disclose:

- wherein the determining the system overloaded condition includes determining whether the volume of tasks has exceeded a predetermined level.

Villena discloses a blended agent contact center comprising:

- wherein the determining the system overloaded condition includes determining whether the volume of tasks has exceeded a predetermined level (abstract, col. 5, lines 32-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Pickering in view of Barkan with Villena's teachings of a blended agent contact center by allowing agents to perform any of a variety of agent customer interactions and allocating the agents based upon the system load for the purpose of providing a truly blended and more flexible contact center solution that provides a more efficient utilization of the time of all agents.

As per claim 57, Pickering discloses wherein the defined criteria includes any one of the group including:

- elapsed time since a previous task was performed, a skill level in a business area, a skill level in a product area, a proficiency in a media, a fluency in a language, elapsed time since beginning work, elapsed time since taking a break (col. 7, lines 32-59).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed October 26, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Office notes the following argument:

a. Villena is not interrupting handling of a current task, but is simply assigning an available agent (i.e. an agent who has complete the task and is waiting for an assignment) to the next task. There is no mention of interruption or instruction to abandon a currently handled task as claimed. Similarly col. 8, lines 32-47 of Villena merely describes assigning more agent terminals to processing inbound calls by reassigning the first available agents. Again, only already available agents are reassigned, and there is no teaching of the claimed interruption or instruction to abandon the currently handled task.

In response to:

a. Applicant argues that Villena does not teach interruption or instruction to abandon the currently handled task. However, the Examiner disagrees. Villena teaches a blended agent contact center in which agents are always available to perform a variety of contact services (e.g. inbound calling, outbound calling, web chat, etc) that the particular agent can handle. Villena

Art Unit: 2157

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaShonda T. Jacobs whose telephone number is 571-272-4004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LaShonda T Jacobs
Examiner
Art Unit 2157

ltj
December 4, 2007

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "LaShonda Jacobs". The signature is written in dark ink and is located in the bottom right corner of the page.

Art Unit: 2157

also teaches reassigning agents from outbound calling to inbound calling if the threshold for inbound calling is exceeded by determining first if there are any available agents to handle the inbound calling. If no agent becomes available then an agent who is presently handling outbound or any other type of call is assigned to the incoming call (i.e. the agent is being reassigned to handle incoming call instead of the current outbound or other type of call) (abstract, col. 5, lines 17-67, col. 6, lines 1-6 and col. 8, lines 32-47). Therefore, Villena teaches interruption or instruction to abandon the agent task of presently handling outbound or any other type of call to the reassignment of handling incoming calls.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☒ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:**

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.